Hi Ed: Sorry to see this but it is not surprising. I have been convinced since Dr. Ferenc Miskolczi was turned down by another climate journal and for the exact same reason, that the climate establishment has become corrupt. The obsession is all about protecting the grant money which flows to academic institutions around the country to the tune of \$10 billion per year. And it continues to grow, abusive of the taxpayers.

This corruption needs to stop. If the reviewer or committee members of any of these so called "scientific" journals turns down a paper for publishing, just claiming the paper "doesn't follow or is not in mainstream "climate science" is unacceptable. This is not an objective answer in the least.

A specific scientific reason should be given for any rejection that is detailed and specific. This would give an opportunity for discussion to ensue between the reviewers and author(s) to see if the claimed errors are factual or could be resolved through a correction by the author(s) or the author(s) correcting the reviewers in defense of their work leading to publishing. If not, then there might be a good reason to reject a paper, but certainly not before this process has occurred, and if the reviewers or committee members cannot find any obvious errors in any claim made by the author(s), the result of that should be immediate acceptance and willingness to publish the paper.

This answer reveals stonewalling and "locking out" anyone who dares to challenge the mountains of fake assertions published every month that reinforce what has now clearly become "mainstream science corruption".

Your paper is correct, Ed. There are no obvious errors in anything you presented.

I think it is time for everyone in our group and any other skeptical or realist individuals to prepare and circulate a petition, gathering a large number of signatures to demand objectivity and fairness in all of these journals. The public needs to be made aware of the fact that academia has taken control of most of these "peer reviewed" journals and uses them to control a fake narrative that protects the grant gravy train and special interests that are vested in promoting climate hysteria. The political class has as much of a reason to encourage and protect this corruption because of their use of the prostitution of academic degrees to promote their carbon tax ideas as "solutions" to a problem that does not exist. Such a petition would serve a purpose of at least causing embarrassing pressure to be put n these universities to get back on track. I think it is an ideal time for this in light of the recent exposed college entrance scandals, where lots of \$money can supersede true academic test scores and cause the kids of wealthy parents to get admitted from this payola to a university over those with the test scores that should have been admitted by their own merit.

Ed, your paper deals a death blow to this racket, it becomes an immediate "kryptonite" to any of the vested recipients of climate fraud. But rejecting a paper on these grounds only reveals that these people are no longer practicing science, they are practicing a religion and your paper is a blasphemy to it and them.

Chuck Wiese Meteorologist